

Original Research Contribution					
Content Area Questions	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
Does the poster clearly explain the postdoc's individual contribution to the research? Specifically, where the postdoc's research fits within the framework of the larger team project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster provides a clear and detailed explanation of the postdoc's individual contribution to the research project. The poster effectively communicates how the postdoc's work contributes to the overall goals of the research. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster clearly outlines the postdoc's individual contribution to the research. There is a strong connection between the postdoc's individual work and the collaborative efforts of the entire team. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster provides an adequate explanation of the postdoc's individual contribution to the research. The role of the postdoc within the team project is mentioned, but some aspects may lack depth or clarity. While there is a connection between the postdoc's work and the larger project, it may not be as clearly articulated as in higher-rated categories 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster provides a basic overview of the postdoc's contribution, but the details are limited or somewhat unclear. The role within the team project is mentioned, but the connection between the postdoc's work and the overall research may be vague or incomplete. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster does not effectively explain the postdoc's individual contribution to the research. The role and responsibilities of the postdoc are not clearly outlined. There is little to no indication of how the postdoc's work fits within the larger framework of the team project.
Does the research contain novel concepts, theoretical frameworks, methods, or devices?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The research demonstrates a high level of innovation, introducing novel concepts, theoretical frameworks, methods, or devices. The novelty is evident in multiple aspects of the research, showcasing originality and creativity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The research includes significant innovative elements, introducing new concepts, theoretical frameworks, methods, or devices. The poster effectively highlights the unique contributions that set the research apart from existing work in the field. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The research incorporates some innovative elements, such as novel concepts, theoretical frameworks, methods, or devices. The poster provides a clear explanation of these innovative aspects, though they may not be as pronounced or groundbreaking as in higher-rated categories. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The research includes limited innovation, with only a few elements that could be considered novel. The poster may touch upon these aspects, but their significance or uniqueness is not strongly emphasized. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The research lacks novel concepts, theoretical frameworks, methods, or devices. The poster does not effectively convey any innovative aspects of the research.
Does the presenter's research offer the potential to introduce novel contribution or advancements to the field?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter clearly articulates the potential for the research to introduce highly innovative and groundbreaking contributions to the field. There is a compelling argument for the significance of the research, indicating that it has the potential to advance the field in a novel and impactful way. The potential for significant advancements is well-supported by the presenter's explanations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter effectively communicates the potential for the research to make significant contributions to the field. The argument for the research's potential impact is strong, and there is a clear indication that it could lead to advancements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter conveys that the research has the potential to introduce moderate contributions or advancements to the field. The potential impact is explained, but it may lack the level of depth or breadth seen in higher-rated categories. The research is presented as having the capability to bring valuable contributions without being groundbreaking. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter suggests that the research has limited potential to introduce significant contributions or advancements. The potential impact is mentioned, but it may not be well-developed or clearly communicated. While the research may offer some contributions, their significance might be limited in scope. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter does not effectively convey any potential for the research to introduce novel contributions or advancements to the field. The argument for the research's impact is weak or absent, and there is little indication that it could lead to significant advancements. The potential for the research to make a noteworthy contribution to the field is unclear or entirely lacking.

Communication					
Content Area Questions	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
Does the poster clearly convey the research's motivation and its alignment with broader JPL/NASA objectives? For example: What are the long-term goals of this work? If this research is successful, what JPL-relevant science/technology does this work enable?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster exceptionally communicates the research's motivation and clearly aligns it with broader JPL/NASA objectives. The long-term goals of the work are explicitly outlined, and the connection to relevant JPL science/technology is compelling. The poster effectively conveys how the successful completion of the research directly contributes to JPL/NASA objectives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster provides very clear motivation for the research and aligns it well with broader JPL/NASA objectives. The long-term goals and potential contributions to JPL-relevant science/technology are well-articulated. There is a strong connection between the research and its potential impact on JPL/NASA objectives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster offers a clear understanding of the research's motivation and its alignment with JPL/NASA objectives. The long-term goals are presented, and the connection to JPL-relevant science/technology is explained, though it may lack some depth. The poster effectively communicates the potential impact of the research on broader objectives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster provides limited clarity regarding the motivation for the research or its alignment with JPL/NASA objectives. The long-term goals may be mentioned, but the connection to relevant JPL science/technology is not well-developed. While there is some indication of alignment, it may lack the depth or specificity seen in higher-rated categories. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster does not effectively convey the motivation for the research or its alignment with JPL/NASA objectives. The long-term goals may be unclear or absent, and the connection to relevant JPL science/technology is not evident. The poster does not effectively communicate how the research contributes to broader JPL/NASA objectives.
Does the poster outline a comprehensive plan for sharing this research with the wider community beyond JPL? Is there a clear strategy to showcase the work's significance?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster outlines a highly comprehensive and well-thought-out plan for sharing the research with the wider community beyond JPL. There is a clear and detailed strategy to showcase the work's significance to a broad audience. The plan may include diverse channels such as conferences, publications, outreach events, and social media, demonstrating a thorough approach to dissemination. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster presents an effective plan for sharing the research with the wider community. The strategy to showcase the work's significance is well-defined and covers key avenues for dissemination. While not as exhaustive as an excellent rating, the plan demonstrates a strong understanding of how to reach and engage a broader audience. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster outlines an adequate plan for sharing the research with the wider community. The strategy to showcase the work's significance is clear, though it may lack some detail or breadth. The plan includes essential channels for dissemination, but there may be room for improvement in terms of comprehensiveness. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster provides a limited plan for sharing the research with the wider community. The strategy to showcase the work's significance may be vague or lack specificity. While there is some indication of outreach efforts, the plan may not cover a broad range of dissemination channels. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster does not effectively outline a plan for sharing the research with the wider community. The strategy to showcase the work's significance is either unclear or entirely absent. There is little to no indication of how the research will be disseminated beyond the JPL community.
Is the poster succinct, yet comprehensive, designed to cater to an audience with varying levels of expertise? Can an individual from a different discipline easily comprehend the content?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster is exceptionally succinct, yet comprehensive, effectively catering to an audience with varying levels of expertise. The content is presented in a clear and concise manner, making it easily understandable for individuals from different disciplines. Complex concepts are communicated in an accessible way, ensuring broad comprehension. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster is highly accessible and comprehensive, designed to accommodate a diverse audience. The content is concise, and the key points are effectively communicated, ensuring that individuals from different disciplines can easily comprehend the material. While not perfect, the poster demonstrates a strong balance between conciseness and completeness. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster is accessible and generally comprehensive, making an effort to cater to individuals with varying levels of expertise. The content is presented in a way that is clear and understandable, although there may be some areas where additional clarity could improve comprehension. Individuals from different disciplines can grasp the main concepts, but there may be room for improvement in terms of clarity or completeness. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster provides limited accessibility, and there may be challenges for individuals from different disciplines to comprehend the content. While some effort is made to present the material clearly, there are areas where the information may be too technical or specialized. The poster requires some familiarity with the specific discipline for optimal understanding. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The poster lacks accessibility, and individuals from different disciplines would find it difficult to comprehend the content. The information is presented in a way that is overly technical or specialized, hindering understanding by a broader audience. There is little effort to make the material accessible to those with varying levels of expertise.

Clarity of Presentation					
Content Area Questions	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
Are the components of the poster organized in a logical flow, guiding the reader from background information to the research's significance?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster exhibit an exceptionally logical flow, guiding the reader seamlessly from background information to the research's significance. The organization is highly intuitive, and the transitions between sections are smooth and well-crafted. The logical flow enhances the overall coherence and understanding of the poster. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster demonstrate a very logical flow, effectively guiding the reader through background information to the research's significance. The organization is clear, and transitions between sections are well-defined, contributing to a cohesive narrative. While not perfect, the logical flow enhances the overall readability and comprehension of the poster. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster exhibit a logical flow, guiding the reader reasonably well from background information to the research's significance. The organization is generally clear, with identifiable transitions between sections, contributing to a coherent structure. While the logical flow enhances readability, there may be some areas where the organization could be improved for better clarity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster have a limited logical flow, and the organization may not effectively guide the reader through the content. Transitions between sections may be unclear or less well-defined, impacting the overall coherence of the poster. While there is some attempt at logical flow, there is room for improvement in terms of structure and organization. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster lack a logical flow, making it challenging for the reader to navigate from background information to the research's significance. The organization is unclear, and transitions between sections are either absent or poorly executed, hindering the overall understanding of the poster. The lack of logical flow significantly impacts the effectiveness of the poster's communication.
Are the components of the poster balanced across the poster, ensuring an even representation of components without overwhelming or underrepresenting any section?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster are exceptionally balanced, with an even representation of each section. All sections receive appropriate attention without overwhelming or underrepresenting any particular aspect. The visual and textual elements are harmoniously distributed, contributing to a well-balanced and visually appealing poster. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster are very balanced, ensuring that each section is represented appropriately. There is a thoughtful distribution of visual and textual elements, with no section dominating or being underrepresented. The balance contributes to a visually appealing and coherent poster layout. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster are generally balanced, with a fair representation of each section. While there may be slight variations, the overall distribution of visual and textual elements is reasonable and does not detract from the poster's coherence. The balance contributes to an effective and visually clear presentation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster have limited balance, with some sections potentially receiving more attention than others. There may be slight underrepresentation or overwhelming of certain elements, impacting the overall balance. While efforts have been made, there is room for improvement in achieving a more even distribution. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The components of the poster lack balance, with significant underrepresentation or overwhelming of certain sections. The uneven distribution of visual and textual elements hinders the overall coherence and effectiveness of the poster. The lack of balance may distract from the intended message and impact the poster's visual appeal.